



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,275      | 07/11/2003  | Ronald Paul Dean     | 10017961-2          | 4838             |

7590 05/04/2006

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LE, TAN

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3632

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**MAILED**

MAY 04 2006

**GROUP 3600**

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 10/618,275  
Filing Date: July 11, 2003  
Appellant(s): DEAN ET AL.

---

R. Ross Viguet  
For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

This is in response to the appeal brief filed February 9, 2006 appealing from the Office action mailed July 29, 2005.

**(1) Real Party in Interest**

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

**(2) Related Appeals and Interferences**

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

**(3) Status of Claims**

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

**(4) Status of Amendments After Final**

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

**(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter**

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

**(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal**

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct. Note that in the brief, Appellants include a statement that separately argued claims do not stand or fall together and proposes submitting a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321© if the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-9, 12-16, 21 and 24 over claims 1-17 of United States patent number 6,666,414 stands upon indication that the claims are allowable.

**(7) Claims Appendix**

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

**(8) Evidence Relied Upon**

No evidence is relied upon by the examiner in the rejection of the claims under appeal.

**(9) Grounds of Rejection**

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-7, 10-16 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joss et al. (US 5,823,495).

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 12, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramsdell (US 5,344,032).

**(10) Response to Argument**

The examiner's response to appellants' arguments will be the same response as in final action mailed 7/29/05, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The examiner respectfully submits that as per the final action, all the limitations of claims 1-7, 10-16 and 21-23 are found in the reference of Joss et al. Claims 1-7, 10-16 and 21-23 are therefore fully met by Joss et al.

The examiner also respectfully submits that as per the final action, all the limitations of claims 1-4, 6-9, 12, 21 and 24 are found in the reference of Ramsdell. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 12, 21 and 24 are therefore fully met by Ramsdell.

**(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix**

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.



Respectfully submitted,


Art Unit: 3632



Tan Le  
Examiner  
April 26, 2006

Conferees:

Peter Cuomo, SPE   
Kimberly Wood, (Primary examiner) 

  
KIMBERLY WOOD  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400  
Attn: R.Ross Viguet.